

PDFs and SMEFT

A study of the interplay of Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs) and BSM signals in global fits

Work with Maria Ubiali and her group:

[PBSP, 2307.10370, JHEP]

[PBSP, 2402.03308]

[Hammou et Ubiali, 2410.00963]

[PBSP, Forthcoming]



European Research Council
Established by the European Commission



Funded by
the European Union


Elie Hammou, University of Cambridge
EFTs and Beyond workshop, Dec 2024, MITP Youngst@rs

New physics searches

Looking toward higher energy scales and indirect searches

Direct searches


$$E_{NP} < E_{collider}$$



No luck so far...

Indirect searches


$$E_{NP} > E_{collider}$$



Requires precision

Hadron colliders and PDFs

Collinear factorization theorem



$$d\sigma^{pp \rightarrow ab} = \sum_{i,j} f_i \otimes f_j \otimes d\hat{\sigma}^{ij \rightarrow ab} + \dots$$

Background on Parton Distribution Functions


Hadron collider observable: $\sigma = \hat{\sigma} \otimes \underbrace{f_1}_{\downarrow} \otimes f_2$

PDFs in a nutshell:

- describe proton's partonic content
- $f(x, Q)$
- x dependance: non-perturbative QCD


➔ Fitted from data

Using NNPDF methodology




[Ball et al., NNPDF4.0, 2109.02653]

NNPDF methodology: fitting PDFs



Fitting PDF from data

The dataset used by NNPDF





Evolution of the dataset through time:

- Moved toward higher energies
- 30% is LHC data
- More to come with HL-LHC run

Discrepancy between low and high-energy data fits

Comparison of full data and no LHC PDF fit



Risk of absorbing new physics in PDFs?

Methodology for risk assessment

Perform a “Contamination test”:

1. Choose a BSM model and a “true PDF” set
2. Produce BSM pseudodata
3. Fit PDFs on pseudodata assuming SM
4. Compare results with baseline PDFs (no BSM physics)

[2307.10370]

Contamination criteria:

- Incompatible with baseline
- Fit quality does not deteriorate

$$\rightarrow \chi^2 = (Dat - Th)^T \cdot \Sigma_{cov}^{-1} \cdot (Dat - Th)$$

PDF contamination:

→ PDFs have absorbed new physics signals

New physics scenarios: W'

From UV to the SMEFT

Heavy triplet under $SU(2)_L$: W'

$$\mathcal{L}_{UV}^{W'} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{1}{4} W'_{\mu\nu}{}^a W'^{a,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} M_{W'}^2 W'_\mu{}^a W'^{a,\mu} - g_{W'} W'^{a,\mu} \sum_{f_L} \bar{f}_L T^a \gamma^\mu f_L - g_{W'} (W'^{a,\mu} \varphi^\dagger T^a i D_\mu \varphi + \text{h.c.})$$

➔ Creates two charged particles: W'^+ / W'^- and a neutral one: W'_3

Matching to the SMEFT:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT}^{W'} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{g_{W'}^2}{2M_{W'}^2} J_L^{a,\mu} J_{L,\mu}^a \quad J_L^{a,\mu} = \sum_{f_L} \bar{f}_L T^a \gamma^\mu f_L$$

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{SMEFT}^{W'} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{g^2 \hat{W}}{2m_W^2} J_L^{a,\mu} J_{L,\mu}^a \quad \hat{W} = \frac{g_{W'}^2}{g^2} \frac{m_W^2}{M_{W'}^2} \propto \frac{c}{\Lambda^2} \quad \text{New physics parameter}$$

New physics scenarios: W' $pp \rightarrow l^- \bar{\nu}$ $M_{W'} = 13.8$ TeV


Generation of the pseudodata

$$\hat{W} \leftrightarrow M_{W'} \quad (g_{W'} = 1)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT}^{W'} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{g^2 \hat{W}}{2m_{W'}^2} J_L^{a,\mu} J_{L,\mu}^a$$


➔ Impacts CC and NC Drell-Yan

HL-LHC Projections




Impact of contamination on the PDFs

Comparison between contaminated and Baseline PDFs



$M_{W'} = 13.8 \text{ TeV}$




$\sigma_{BSM}^{Data} \approx \hat{\sigma}_{SM} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{BSM}$


Missing new physics

Impact of the PDF contamination on SMEFT fits

SMEFT Fit with true PDF



SMEFT Fit with SMEFT PDF



Apparition of fake deviations

Impact of contamination on predictions for other sectors

Theory predictions (red band):

- BSM PDFs + SM


Data (blue dots):

- True PDFs + SM

➔ Fake deviation from SM

Also seen in WH, WZ, ZH production

HL-LHC Projections



PDF/BSM signals mixing: summary

- BSM data in PDF fit:
 - At best: BSM data flagged and excluded
 - At worst: BSM signal absorbed by the PDF
- Consequences of PDF contamination:
 - New physics is hidden (model can be ruled out)
 - Introduced fake deviations in other sectors

➡ Possible solution?

Simultaneous fit of PDF and new physics

Separate versus simultaneous fits

Separate fits

PDF fit:

$$T(\{\theta\}, \{c = 0\}) = \text{PDF}(\{\theta\}) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(\{c = 0\})$$

→ $\bar{\theta}$

Assumes SM:
source of bias

SMEFT fit:

$$T(\{\theta = \bar{\theta}\}, \{c\}) = \text{PDF}(\{\theta = \bar{\theta}\}) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(\{c\})$$

→ \bar{c}

Risk of getting
wrong value

Simultaneous fits

$$T(\{\theta\}, \{c\}) = \text{PDF}(\{\theta\}) \otimes \hat{\sigma}(\{c\})$$

→ $\{\bar{\theta}, \bar{c}\}$


Removes assumption-based bias

Simultaneous fit of PDF and new physics

Presentation of the tool: SIMUnet

SIMUnet:

- Open-source tool:
github.com/HEP-PBSP/SIMUnet
[2402.03308]
- Fits PDFs and WC simultaneously




NNPDF

[Iranipour et Ubiali, 2201.07240]

Simultaneous fit of PDF and new physics

SMEFT operators implemented


- 40 operators implemented
- Observables:
 - top sector
 - diboson
 - Higgs
 - Drell-Yan
 - EW Precision Observables




Simultaneous fit of PDF and new physics

Disentangling PDF contamination

SMEFT Fit



PDF Fit



Limits of the simultaneous fits

- Technical limits:
 - Can only fit linear SMEFT corrections (fitting method)
 - Working on an alternative bayesian method
- Fundamental limits:
 - More difficult than PDF fit
 - PDF still universal? [PBSP, forthcoming]
 - Risk of fitting QCD/EWK corrections as BSM signals

Summary and outlook

- Signs of W' got fitted away in PDF parametrisation
 - Missed new physics
 - Introduced fake deviations in other sectors
- Simultaneous fits of PDFs and SMEFT:
 - **SIMUnet** tool available
 - Seems to disentangle PDF and SMEFT

You can contact me at:
eh651@cam.ac.uk

**Thank you for your
attention!**

Extra slides

Synergy of high and low-energy data

Adding low-energy dataset constraining the large-x region


Excessive antiquark PDF flexibility in large-x region:

- ➔ Accommodates real data and BSM pseudodata
- ➔ Allows contamination

Including low-energy large-x data:

- Constraint large-x region
- Safe from BSM contamination

[Hammou et Ubiali, 2410.00963]




Future low energy data

Kinematic coverage



Projection data:

- Electron Ion Collider (EIC)
- Forward Physics Facility (FPF)
(neutrino DIS)



Impact on the PDF contamination

Flagging the BSM data



Recovering the signs of new physics


BSM data versus SM theory predictions

$$\hat{\sigma}_{BSM} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{SM} \approx \hat{\sigma}_{SM} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{BSM}$$



$$\hat{\sigma}_{BSM} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{SM} \neq \hat{\sigma}_{SM} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{EIC+FPF}$$

$M_{W'}$: 13.8 TeV



Shift of the contamination threshold

From the fit quality


Not a complete solution:

Smaller deviations can still be absorbed

➔ risk at higher BSM mass

Reduction of the “blindspot”:

$$M_{W'} : 13.8 \rightarrow 19.5 \text{ TeV}$$




New physics scenarios: Z'

$$M_{Z'} = 18.7 \text{ TeV}$$

Generation of the pseudodata


$$\mathcal{L}_{SMEFT}^{Z'} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{g_{Z'}^2}{2M_{Z'}^2} J_Y^\mu J_{Y,\mu}$$

$$J_Y^\mu = \sum_f Y_f \bar{f} \gamma^\mu f$$



Impacts neutral current Drell-Yan processes


$$p\bar{p} \rightarrow l^+ l^-$$




New physics scenarios: W'

Consideration of different masses

$$M_{W'} = 10 \text{ TeV}$$




$$M_{W'} = 22.5 \text{ TeV}$$




List of deviations

	HL-LHC		Stat. improved	
Dataset	χ^2/n_{dat}	n_σ	χ^2/n_{dat}	n_σ
W^+H	1.17	0.41	1.77	1.97
W^-H	1.08	0.19	1.08	0.19
W^+Z	1.08	0.19	1.49	1.20
W^-Z	0.99	-0.03	1.02	0.05
ZH	1.19	0.44	1.67	1.58
W^+W^-	2.19	3.04	2.69	4.31
VBF \rightarrow H	0.70	-0.74	0.62	-0.90


NNPDF methodology



Global SMEFT fit, 4 fermions operators




SMEFT fits with different PDFs




Shift of the contamination threshold

Impact on PDF luminosities

$u\bar{u} + d\bar{d}$ luminosity
 $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV




$u\bar{d} + d\bar{u}$ luminosity
 $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV




Application to the top sector (real data) In progress

SMEFT Fit




PDF Fit




Constraints from current data

- New physics scenarios compared to constraints at 95% CL




New physics scenarios: Z'

$M_{Z'} = 14.5 \text{ TeV}$




$M_{Z'} = 32.5 \text{ TeV}$



New physics scenarios: W'

$M_{W'} = 10 \text{ TeV}$



$M_{W'} = 22.5 \text{ TeV}$

